

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/824,849	04/02/2001	Simon Jacobs	500745.01	9964	
27076 75	27076 7590 01/10/2006			EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT SUITE 3400 1420 FIFTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101			JEANTY, 1	JEANTY, ROMAIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3623		
			DATE MAILED: 01/10/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/824,849	JACOBS, SIMON				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Romain Jeanty	3623				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ja</u>	anuary 1958					
·		andary 1000. s action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
۵)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	·						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-58 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is in response to the communication received October 3, 2005. In the communication, Applicant elects Group 1, claims 1-29 and 50-58, for examination at this time, and further elects the species of claims 8-1 1 for prosecution on the merits, and claims 12-15 have been amended to change the claim dependency to claim 2.

Upon further review of the claims, the examiner notes that a further Election/Restriction is required, and the Election/Restriction is described below.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-18, 55, drawn to a data structure stored on a computer readable media for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
 - II. Claims 19-29, 50-52, and 56, drawn to a process for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 8.
 - III. Claims 30-49, 57-58, drawn to a process for managing a complex work order, classified in class 705, subclass 9.
- IV. Claims 53-54, drawn to a system for managing distribution of a plurality of work orders to a workforce of mobile service representative, classified in class 705, subclass 8.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as validating whether

Art Unit: 3623

the proposed start time satisfies the precedence criteria prior to starting work on the member suborder. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as scheduling appointment times for starting work on each member sub-order so that the appointments times satisfy the precedence criteria for each member sub-order. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I, II, III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as a first and second wireless data communication devices. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Romain Jeanty whose telephone number is (571) 272-6732. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30AM - 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq R. Hafiz can be reached on (571) 272-6729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,849 Page 4

Art Unit: 3623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 12, 2005

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3623